

# High-Quality Instructional Materials

**OFFICE OF CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION** 



# 6-12 Mathematics Instructional Material Evaluation Rubric - Approved Februarv Oklahoma Mathematics Instructional Materials Evaluation Rubric

Instructional materials selection is an important district decision, and conducting a thorough review of instructional materials at the local level is essential in ensuring the adoption of high-quality instructional materials that meet the needs of students within a district. This evaluation rubric is designed to offer an evaluation structure that districts can utilize to determine how well instructional materials align to the Oklahoma Academic Standards (OAS) and other criteria for high-quality instructional materials. The evaluation rubric includes key considerations for high-quality instructional materials and outlines three **Gateways** for consideration when evaluating materials. Within each Gateway, **Criterion** and related **Indicators** are provided along with **Guiding Questions**. Additionally, **Priority Indicators** are indicated with an asterisk (\*) as they have been deemed most essential to a quality program. Each **Indicator** is evaluated as Not Representing Quality, Approaching Quality, or Exemplifies Quality using a 0-1-2 or 0-2-4 scale score.

All scores should be based on evidence observed from the instructional materials themselves, rather than what might be inferred. The evaluation rubric is designed to allow reviewers to determine a threshold for quality for each gateway. If instructional materials meet the thresholds for Exemplifies Quality or Approaching Quality expectations for a Gateway, reviewers are prompted to move forward with reviewing the next Gateway ( $\rightarrow$ ). If instructional materials do not meet the thresholds for Exemplifies Quality or Approaching Quality expectations for a Gateway for Exemplifies Quality or Approaching Quality expectations for a Gateway, reviewers are prompted to Materials do not meet the thresholds for Exemplifies Quality or Approaching Quality expectations for a Gateway, reviewers are prompted not to move forward with reviewing the next Gateway ( $\boxtimes$ ).

| Gateway 1                                  | Exemplifies Quality | $\rightarrow$ | Gateway 2           | Exemplifies Quality | $\rightarrow$ | Gateway 3                 |
|--|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------|
| Alignment with<br>the Oklahoma<br>Academic | Approaching Quality | $\rightarrow$ | Building<br>Student | Approaching Quality | $\rightarrow$ | Teacher and<br>Student    |
| Standards and<br>Coherence                 | Not Representing    | $\boxtimes$   | Knowledge           | Not Representing    | $\boxtimes$   | Supports and<br>Usability |
|  | Quality             |               |                     | Quality             |               |                           |

| Titles of Material(s) | Trigonometry, 11e, by Ron Larson | Grade(s) Evaluated |  |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Publisher             | Cengage                          | Reviewer           |  |

## **Review Summary**

|                           | Gateway  | Criterion  | Score                      | Rating                     |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Alignment with            | 1.1 Alignment with the Oklahoma<br>Academic Standards  | 14 / 14  | <b>Exemplifies Quality</b> |                            |  |
| 1                         | Academic<br>Standards and  | 1.2 Learning Progressions and Coherence  | 10 / 10                    | <b>Exemplifies Quality</b> |  |
|                           | Coherence  | Gateway 1 Sub-Total  | 24 / 24                    | <b>Exemplifies Quality</b> |  |
|                           |  | 2.1 Student Opportunities to Engage in<br>Mathematical Actions and Processes       | 14 / 14                    | <b>Exemplifies Quality</b> |  |
| 2<br>Building<br>Student  | 2.2 The Actions and Processes of the<br>Oklahoma Academic Standards  | 12 / 12  | <b>Exemplifies Quality</b> |                            |  |
|                           | Knowledge  | 2.3 Assessment   | 14 / 14                    | <b>Exemplifies Quality</b> |  |
|                           |  | Gateway 2 Sub-Total  | 40 / 40                    | Exemplifies Quality        |  |
|                           | Teacher  | 3.1 Differentiation, Scaffolding, and Supports for All Learners                    | 10 / 10                    | Exemplifies Quality        |  |
| 3                         | and<br>Student   | 3.2 Teacher Planning and Learning for Success with the Oklahoma Academic Standards | 10 / 10                    | Exemplifies Quality        |  |
| Supports and<br>Usability |  | Gateway 3 Sub-Total  | 20 / 20                    | <b>Exemplifies Quality</b> |  |
|                           | Overall Rating<br>Exemplifies Quality: All Gateways are Exemplifies Quality<br>Approaching Quality: All Gateways are Approaching Quality or<br>Better Not Representing Quality: Any Gateway is Not Representing<br>Quality |  |                            | Final Rating               |  |
|                           |  |  |                            | Exemplifies Quality        |  |

The instructional materials are coherent and consistent with the Oklahoma Academic Standards that specify what all students

should know and be able to do as learners of mathematics at the end of each grade level.

To determine the Gateway rating, educators use evidence gathered from the instructional materials to score indicators related to each criterion.

| Gateway 1 Overview   |            |                  |  |  |
|--|------------|------------------|--|--|
| Criterion  | Indicators | Available Points |  |  |
| <b>Criterion 1.1</b> : <b>Alignment to the Oklahoma Academic Standards</b><br>The instructional materials align with the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics.  | 1a 1f.     | 14               |  |  |
| <b>Criterion 1.2: Learning Progressions and Coherence</b><br>The instructional materials support the learning progressions emphasized in the<br>Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics so that the curriculum is coherent<br>both within grades and across grade bands. | 1g 1j.     | 10               |  |  |
|  |            | 24               |  |  |

6-12 Mathematics Instructional Material Evaluation Rubric - Approved February

| Criterion 1.1<br>Alignment to the Oklahoma<br>Academic Standards   | The instructional materials align with the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics.   |                    |  |
|--|---|--------------------|--|
| Indicators   | Guiding Questions   | Score              | Comments   |
| <ul> <li>1a. The materials provide students with opportunities to develop a deep understanding of numbers, ways of representing numbers, relationships among numbers, relationships among number systems, and meanings of operations and how they relate to one another, as represented in the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics Numbers &amp; Operations strand.</li> <li>In math courses that do not have an applicable Numbers &amp; Operations strand to reference, instructional materials provide students with the opportunity to apply their deep understanding of numbers to the other strands represented in the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Do the materials prompt students to relate and connect numbers?</li> <li>Do the materials allow students to interact with numbers in various representations?</li> </ul> | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | The Precalculus standards do not<br>contain a Numbers & Operations<br>strand.<br>The text includes an extensive<br>review section that covers all<br>algebra skills needed for the<br>course.<br>Throughout the text, students<br>apply their understanding of<br>number systems and operations,<br>including complex numbers. |

6-12 Mathematics Instructional Material Evaluation Rubric - Approved February

| Criterion 1.1<br>Alignment to the Oklahoma<br>Academic Standards  | The instructional materials align with the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics.  |       |   |  |  |
|---|--|-------|---|--|--|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions  | Score | Comments  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1b. The instructional materials provide students with opportunities to understand patterns, relations, and functions; represent and analyze mathematical situations and structures using algebraic symbols; use mathematical models to represent, understand, and predict quantitative relationships; and analyze change in various contexts, as represented in the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics Algebra &amp; Algebraic Reasoning and/or Functions strands.</li> <li>In math courses that do not have an applicable Algebra &amp; Algebraic Reasoning or Functions strand to reference, instructional materials provide students with the opportunity to use, apply, and extend these concepts to the other strands represented in the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics Mathematics for Mathematics.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Do the materials embed tasks that require students to use pattern-based thinking to understand and represent mathematics in various contexts?</li> <li>Do the materials include tables, pictures, graphs, open sentences, equations or inequalities, rules, and functions to model mathematics in various contexts?</li> <li>Do the materials include opportunities for students to form and verify generalizations based on observations of patterns and relationships?</li> </ul> | 012   | <ul> <li>The text provides many rigorous opportunities for students to demonstrate their understanding of all standards in the Functions strand: <ul> <li>Analyze functions and relations (pgs 26-39, 67-84, 102-110, 170-189, 444-452)</li> <li>Create functions to model relationships (pgs 94-110)</li> <li>Predict and find solutions of functions (pgs 26-39, 53-66)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Manipulations of expressions including composition, transformations and inverse are studied, including domain analysis are used throughout the text.</li> <li>Methods of solving throughout the text include analytical and graphical techniques, as well as the use of technology.</li> </ul> |  |  |

6-12 Mathematics Instructional Material Evaluation Rubric - Approved February

| Criterion 1.1<br>Alignment to the Oklahoma<br>Academic Standards  | The instructional materials align with the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics.   |       |  |  |
|---|---|-------|--|--|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions   | Score | Comments   |  |
| <ul> <li>1c. The instructional materials provide students with opportunities to develop arguments based on geometric relationships; describe spatial relationships using coordinate geometry and other representational systems; apply transformations and symmetry to analyze mathematical situations; utilize visualization, spatial reasoning, and geometric modeling to solve problems; understand the units, systems, and processes of measurement; and apply appropriate techniques, tools, and formulas to determine measurements, as represented in the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics Geometry and Measurement strand; the Reasoning &amp; Logic, Two-Dimensional Shapes, Three-Dimensional Shapes, Circles, and Right Triangle Trigonometry strands within the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Geometry; or the Conic Sections and Trigonometry strands within the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Precalculus.</li> <li>In math courses that do not have an applicable Geometry &amp; Measurement strand or set of strands to reference, instructional materials provide students with the opportunity to use, apply, and extend these concepts to the other strands represented in the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Do the materials include tasks that prompt students to recall, generate, model, and justify geometric concepts?</li> <li>Do the materials include tasks with a variety of two- and three-dimensional objects to promote visualization, spatial reasoning, and geometric modeling?</li> </ul> | 0 1 2 | <ul> <li>The text provides students with opportunities to learn and demonstrate their understanding of all standards in the Conic Sections strand and the Trigonometry strand.</li> <li>Conics: <ul> <li>Model with conics (pgs 425-433)</li> <li>Identify aspects of conics from graphs and equations (pgs 425-460)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Trigonometry: <ul> <li>Understand the unit circle and its relationship to trigonometric functions (pgs 122-189)</li> <li>Apply trigonometry beyond right triangles (pgs 262-277)</li> <li>Verify and use trigonometric identities (pgs 139-149, 210-251)</li> <li>Explore complex numbers (pgs 314-341)</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |

6-12 Mathematics Instructional Material Evaluation Rubric - Approved February

| Criterion 1.1<br>Alignment to the Oklahoma<br>Academic Standards   | The instructional materials align with the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics.  |                    |  |
|--|--|--------------------|--|
| Indicators   | Guiding Questions  | Score              | Comments   |
| 1d. The instructional materials provide students<br>with opportunities to formulate questions that can<br>be addressed with data; to collect, organize, and<br>display relevant data; to select and use<br>appropriate statistical methods to analyze data,<br>develop and evaluate inferences and predictions<br>based on data; and to understand and apply basic<br>concepts of probability, as represented in the<br>Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics<br>Data and Probability strand or the Statistical<br>Questions, Data Collection, Data Analysis,<br>Interpretation of Results, and Probability strands in<br>the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Statistics &<br>Probability.<br>In math courses that do not have an applicable<br>Data & Probability strand or set of strands to<br>reference, instructional materials provide students<br>with the opportunity to use, apply, and extend<br>these concepts to the other strands represented in<br>the Oklahoma Academic Standards for | <ul> <li>Do the materials include a variety of student interests and prompt student investigation to collect, organize, and display data?</li> <li>Do the materials model the use of concrete or abstract representations (e.g., pictures, symbols, expressions, equations, graphics) of data and mathematical relationships?</li> </ul> | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | The Precalculus standards do not<br>contain a Data & Probability<br>strand.<br>Throughout the text, students are<br>given opportunities to<br>demonstrate their learning of<br>course content within contexts<br>that relate data and mathematical<br>relationships. Some examples<br>include: developing a logarithmic<br>equation relating animal weight<br>and stride; calculating the rotation<br>of a bicycle gear based on the<br>number of teeth on the gear; and<br>creating a trigonometric model of<br>tidal water height. |
| *1e. The materials address the full intent of the<br>grade-level objectives and are aligned with the<br>Oklahoma Academic Standards for<br>Mathematics.  | <ul> <li>Are all Oklahoma Academic Standards<br/>for the course supported by the content<br/>of the materials?</li> <li>Are all Oklahoma Academic Standards<br/>for the course addressed with the<br/>appropriate depth to support students in<br/>learning the skills and information<br/>contained in the standards?</li> </ul>        | 02 <mark>4</mark>  | The text very thoroughly<br>addresses all standards required<br>for Precalculus.   |

6-12 Mathematics Instructional Material Evaluation Rubric - Approved February

| Criterion 1.1<br>Alignment to the Oklahoma<br>Academic Standards   | The instructional materials align with the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics.   |                    |   |
|--|---|--------------------|---|
| Indicators   | Guiding Questions   | Score              | Comments  |
| 1f. The instructional materials connect the content<br>of the Oklahoma Academic Standards for<br>Mathematics to relevant application in real-world<br>experiences including but not limited to college<br>majors, postsecondary programs, and careers. | Do the materials include tasks that<br>connect relevant learning experiences, as<br>called for by the Oklahoma Academic<br>Standards? | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | Throughout the text, examples<br>and problems based on topics<br>outside mathematics. Every<br>lesson's set of exercises<br>include problems based on<br>real-world concepts, from the<br>federal deficit in the first<br>lesson, to calculating a<br>parabolic path of a satellite in<br>the very last lesson. |
|  | Rating Levels   | Sub-Total          | Rating  |
| Criterion 1.1 Summary  | Exemplifies Quality: 12 - 14<br>Approaching Quality: 8 - 11<br>Not Representing Quality: 0 - 7  | 14 / 14            | <b>Exemplifies Quality</b>  |

| Criterion 1.2<br>Learning Progressions and<br>Coherence  | The instructional materials support the learning progressions<br>emphasized in the Oklahoma Academic Standards for<br>Mathematics so that the curriculum is coherent both within<br>grades and across grade bands. |                    |  |  |  |
|--|--|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Indicators   | Guiding Questions  | Score              | Comments   |  |  |
| 1g. The amount of content designated for one grade level is viable for one school year and fosters coherence from one grade level to the next.   | Do the instructional materials allow for<br>reasonable completion in one<br>academic year and connect content<br>knowledge from one year to the next?  | 0 1 2              | The text provides a one-chapter<br>review of algebraic skills needed for<br>the course, giving clear connections<br>to previous courses.<br>An online appendix covers a few<br>topics in statistics. The text does not<br>include introductions to calculus<br>concepts.<br>Text includes only six chapters, one<br>of which is a review of algebra from<br>previous courses.<br>The content could be covered easily<br>in one academic year for all students<br>and could reasonably be covered in<br>half an academic year in an honors<br>course. |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1h. The materials are consistent with the progressions in the Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics.</li> <li>Materials relate grade-level concepts explicitly to prior knowledge from earlier grades.</li> <li>Materials develop according to the grade-by-grade progression in the Standards. If past or subsequent grades' content is included, it is clearly identified and related to grade-level work.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Are the materials consistent with<br/>the progression in the standards?</li> <li>Is grade-level content connected to<br/>specific standards from earlier<br/>grades?</li> </ul>                           | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | The text progresses from an<br>introduction to trigonometry,<br>analytical uses of trigonometry,<br>vectors and the complex plane, and<br>exponential and logarithmic<br>functions. All topics are addressed at<br>grade-level and are directly based<br>upon standards in Geometry and<br>Algebra 2.  |  |  |

| *1i. The instructional materials provide all students<br>with comprehensive and extensive opportunities to<br>engage with grade-level activities. | <ul> <li>Do materials concentrate on the mathematics of the grade/course as referenced in the Oklahoma Academic Standards?</li> <li>Do the materials support student engagement with appropriate grade-level activities?</li> </ul> | 02 <mark>4</mark>  | Examples in the text scaffold<br>concepts well and build to<br>application of learning. Each lesson<br>has 50-150 practice exercises that<br>range from basic skill practice to<br>real-world examples and conceptual<br>problems.<br>Teachers will be able to find<br>extensive opportunities for students<br>of all abilities to engage with the<br>content. |
|---|---|--------------------|--|
| 1j. The materials foster coherence across a single<br>grade through connections among the Oklahoma<br>Academic Standards for Mathematics.         | Are there problems and activities that<br>serve to connect two or more<br>standards in a strand or two or more<br>strands in a grade?   | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | The Precalculus standards include<br>three strands: Functions, Conic<br>Sections, and Trigonometry.<br>Throughout the text, the lessons on<br>Trigonometry and Conic Sections are<br>highly reliant on standards in the<br>Functions strand.<br>In addition, the rotation of conics is<br>taught based on the use of<br>Trigonometric identities.              |

| Criterion 1.2<br>Learning Progressions and<br>Coherence | The instructional materials support the learning progressions<br>emphasized in the Oklahoma Academic Standards for<br>Mathematics so that the curriculum is coherent both within<br>grades and across grade bands. |           |                     |  |
|---|--|-----------|---------------------|--|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions  | Score     | Comments            |  |
|   | Rating Levels  | Sub-Total | Rating              |  |
| Criterion 1.2 Summary                                   | Exemplifies Quality: 8 - 10<br>Approaching Quality: 7 - 9<br>Not Representing Quality: 0 - 6   | 10 / 10   | Exemplifies Quality |  |

| Gateway 1<br>Points<br>Available | Rating Levels                    | Gateway 1<br>Points<br>Achieved | Gateway 1 Rating    |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                  | Exemplifies Quality: 20 - 24     | 24/24                           | Exemplifies Quality |
| 24                               | Approaching Quality: 13 - 19     |                                 |                     |
|                                  | Not Representing Quality: 0 - 12 |                                 |                     |
|                                  | Gateway                          | <sup>7</sup> 1 Comments         |                     |
|                                  |                                  |                                 |                     |

## Gateway 2: Building Student Knowledge and Access

Gateway 2 examines the way materials provide opportunities for students to engage with, discuss, problem-solve, and deeply understand mathematics.

To determine the Gateway rating, educators use evidence gathered from the instructional materials to score indicators related to each criterion.

□ Materials must receive a score of Exemplifies Quality or Approaching Quality in Gateway 1 in order to be reviewed in

#### Gateway 2.

| Gateway 2 Overview  |            |                  |  |
|---|------------|------------------|--|
| Criterion   | Indicators | Available Points |  |
| Criterion 2.1: Student Opportunities to Engage in the Mathematical Actions and<br>Processes (MAPs)<br>The instructional materials provide opportunities for students to regularly use the MAPs to<br>gain a deep understanding of the content.                | 2a 2g.     | 14               |  |
| Criterion 2.2: The Actions and Processes of the Oklahoma Academic Standards for<br>Mathematics<br>The materials provide explicit opportunities for students to demonstrate independent<br>progress to develop proficiency in the Oklahoma Academic Standards. | 2h 2I.     | 12               |  |
| <b>Criterion 2.3 Assessment</b><br>The materials provide tools, guidance, and support for teachers to collect, interpret, and act on data about student progress towards the Oklahoma Academic Standards.   | 2m 2r.     | 14               |  |
|   |            | 40               |  |

| Criterion 2.1<br>Student Opportunities to<br>Engage in the Mathematical<br>Actions and Processes (MAPs)  | The instructional materials provide opportunities for students to regularly use the MAPs to gain a deep understanding of the content.  |                    |  |
|--|--|--------------------|--|
| Indicators   | Guiding Questions  | Score              | Comments   |
| 2a. Attention to Developing a Deep and<br>Flexible Conceptual Understanding: The<br>materials support the intentional development<br>of students' conceptual understanding of key<br>mathematical concepts, especially where<br>called for in specific academic standards and<br>objectives. | <ul> <li>Are tasks and lessons in a sequence connected by an overarching mathematical concept and/or common context that links the mathematics and tasks?</li> <li>Do the materials regularly include opportunities for students to apply and use mathematics in non-routine problems in the learning sequence?</li> </ul>   | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | Each lesson is structured with an<br>introduction, then instruction of a<br>concept followed by 1-3 examples,<br>which show different uses of the<br>concept. Lessons typically contain 2-<br>4 concepts, and often include<br>application examples at the end of<br>the lesson.   |
| 2b. Attention to Developing Accurate and<br>Appropriate Procedural Fluency: The<br>materials provide intentional opportunities for<br>students to develop procedural skills fluently,<br>especially where called for in specific<br>academic standards and objectives.                       | <ul> <li>Do the materials provide students with opportunities to apply math and problem solving procedures to a variety of problems and contexts accurately, efficiently, and flexibly?</li> <li>Do the materials consistently provide students with opportunities to justify their choices of procedures when solving problems and to strengthen their understanding and skill through practice?</li> </ul> | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | Each lesson is followed by an<br>extensive set of practice exercises.<br>These begin with straight-forward<br>skill practice problems. There are<br>usually at least 4 problems of each<br>type, allowing for extra practice for<br>students to build skills as needed.<br>After the skill and application<br>problems, a set of conceptual<br>exercises provides opportunities for<br>students to identify misconceptions,<br>justify or prove concepts, and<br>combine the new learning with<br>previous skills. |

| Criterion 2.1<br>Student Opportunities to<br>Engage in the Mathematical<br>Actions and Processes (MAPs)   | The instructional materials provide use the MAPs to gain a deep under  |                    |   |
|---|--|--------------------|---|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions  | Score              | Comments  |
| 2c. Attention to Developing Mathematical<br>Reasoning: Materials prompt students to<br>explore and communicate a variety of<br>reasoning strategies to think through<br>problems and includes opportunities for<br>students to construct viable arguments and<br>analyze the arguments of others concerning<br>key grade-level mathematics details in the<br>content standards.             | <ul> <li>Do students have opportunities to construct viable arguments and analyze the arguments of others (e.g. analyzing student work, conversation stems)?</li> <li>Are students presented with tasks that enable them to reason with mathematics, discuss, and debate appropriate processes and solutions (e.g. collaborative activities, math talks)?</li> </ul> | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | The text contains many exercises<br>that invoke discussion and<br>explanation of thought processes.<br>Each lesson exercise set contains<br>error analysis problems, in which<br>students analyze sample student<br>work, and a graph-based analysis<br>question, which is recommended as a<br>prompt for class discussion.<br>In online resources, each chapter has<br>a collaborative project, in which<br>students can work together by<br>solving in-depth, real-life problems. |
| 2d. Attention to Developing the Ability to<br>Communicate Mathematically: Materials<br>explicitly attend to students discussing,<br>writing, reading, interpreting, and translating<br>ideas and concepts mathematically,<br>increasing their use of mathematical language<br>and terms and analysis of mathematical<br>definitions as they progress through each<br>grade level or course. | <ul> <li>Do materials attend to the specialized language of mathematics?</li> <li>Do the materials provide opportunities for students to communicate mathematically using multiple methods (e.g., presentation, model)?</li> </ul>   | 012                | The text introduces new and<br>important vocabulary terms using<br>bold text, including terms that<br>students have experienced in<br>previous courses.<br>Throughout the text, concepts are<br>shown verbally, algebraically,<br>graphically, and the lesson explicitly<br>teaches the connections between<br>these forms.<br>Student exercises often ask for<br>multiple modes of response. In one<br>exercise set, students are asked to:<br>estimate, sketch, determine,        |

|   |   |                    | calculate, evaluate, find exact values,<br>write an equation, explain, describe,<br>draw a representation, justify<br>reasoning, make a conjecture, and<br>describe a real-life application.   |
|---|---|--------------------|--|
| 2e. Attention to Developing Strategies for<br>Problem Solving: Materials include multiple<br>entry points and strategies for students to<br>select from to pursue solutions to various<br>mathematical tasks. | <ul> <li>Do the materials include strategies for<br/>students to discuss and reflect on their<br/>own problem-solving strategies for<br/>mathematics?</li> <li>Do the materials provide strategies for<br/>students to compare a problem solving<br/>strategy to alternative problem-solving<br/>strategies?</li> </ul> | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | <ul> <li>The text regularly shows multiple methods to solve problems. These side-by-side examples can be used in class as discussion points of the benefits of each method. Examples include: <ul> <li>Using different trigonometric identities to simplify or solve equations and obtaining the same result.</li> <li>Solving equations algebraically and graphically and verifying that the solutions are the same.</li> </ul> </li> <li>In exercises, students are often asked to solve problems and then verify their result with a different method.</li> </ul> |

| Criterion 2.1<br>Student Opportunities to<br>Engage in the Mathematical<br>Actions and Processes (MAPs)   | The instructional materials provide opportunities for students to regularly use the MAPs to gain a deep understanding of the content.  |                    |  |
|---|--|--------------------|--|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions  | Score              | Comments   |
| 2f. Attention to Developing a Productive<br>Mathematical Disposition: Materials include<br>opportunities for students to make use of<br>patterns and mathematical structures and<br>develop the ability to persevere and become<br>resilient, effective problem solvers.  | <ul> <li>Do the materials provide opportunities<br/>for students to collaborate with one<br/>another, reflect, and ask clarifying<br/>questions to develop a value for<br/>alternative ways of knowing?</li> <li>Do the materials encourage a student<br/>mindset that problem solving extends<br/>beyond procedural or algorithmic<br/>activities with a goal that is limited to the<br/>identification of a correct answer?</li> </ul> | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | Within lessons, the text regularly<br>provides alternate methods for<br>solving problems, and methods of<br>verifying solutions. Most lessons<br>also include application questions,<br>which model using new learning<br>concepts in different ways.<br>Every set of student exercises<br>contains application problems that<br>require students to consider how the<br>scenario requires the use of the<br>learned mathematics. At least one<br>question in each exercise set is<br>specifically structured to solicit<br>discussion and justification of<br>problem-solving strategies. |
| 2g. Attention to Developing the Ability to<br>Make Conjectures, Model, and Generalize:<br>Materials include opportunities to make<br>predictions, draw conclusions, and make<br>sense of problems through the use of<br>modeling and other problem-solving<br>strategies. | <ul> <li>Do the materials prompt students to make a prediction about possible outcomes to a question and explain with reasoning?</li> <li>Do the materials allow students to make connections between ideas, refine processes, and extend their known strategies to apply to larger numbers and problems?</li> </ul>   | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | Throughout the text, lessons provide<br>examples in which problems are<br>presented, a model is created,<br>analyzed, and used to solve the<br>problem.<br>In each exercise set, application<br>problems are given with scaffolding<br>prompts to support students in<br>creating the connections from<br>previous learning to the current<br>problem. Most problem sets include<br>'Exploration' problems, in which<br>students engage with abstract or   |

|                       |  |           | general forms of the content from the lesson. |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|---|
|                       |  |           |   |
|                       |  |           |   |
|                       |  |           |   |
|                       |  |           |   |
|                       |  |           |   |
|                       | Rating Levels  | Sub-Total | Rating  |
| Criterion 2.1 Summary | Exemplifies Quality: 12 - 14<br>Approaching Quality: 8 - 11<br>Not Representing Quality: 0 - 7 | 14 / 14   | Exemplifies Quality                           |

| Criterion 2.2<br>The Actions and Processes of<br>the Oklahoma Academic<br>Standards for Mathematics   | The materials provide explicit opportunities for students to demonstrate independent progress to develop proficiency in the Oklahoma Academic Standards.   |                    |  |
|---|--|--------------------|--|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions  | Score              | Comments   |
| *2h. Materials include explicit student learning<br>goals that solicit observable evidence of<br>student learning within progressions that<br>guide instructional decisions.  | Do the materials provide learning goals with<br>opportunities for the teacher and students to<br>identify what they are learning and how their<br>daily learning connects to a longer learning<br>progression? | 02 <mark>4</mark>  | Every lesson begins with explicit,<br>clear student learning goals.<br>Within the lesson, content and<br>examples are labeled using<br>language based upon the learning<br>goals. In the exercise set for each<br>lesson, problems are also labeled<br>using these terms.<br>The test bank provides the<br>standards and content of each<br>question to guide teacher<br>planning. |
| 2i. Materials regularly embed activities that<br>engage students in solving and discussing<br>tasks that promote mathematical reasoning<br>and problem-solving which allow multiple<br>entry points and varied solution strategies. | Do the materials support the development<br>of procedures or algorithms as a result of<br>problem solving experiences, allowing for<br>multiple and individualized approaches?                                 | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | Throughout the text, lessons<br>demonstrate multiple solution<br>methods in side-by-side examples.<br>The text strives to use analytical,<br>graphical, and tabular methods as<br>much as possible.<br>In exercise sets, where applicable,<br>students are advised that multiple<br>methods or techniques are viable.  |
| 2j. Materials frequently engage students in<br>making connections among math<br>representations to use as tools for<br>problem-solving and to deepen their<br>understanding of math concepts and<br>procedures.                     | Do the materials include problems that can<br>be approached from a variety of methods<br>and emphasize connections between<br>representations and context?   | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | The use of side-by-side examples<br>shows how a problem can be<br>analyzed using analytic and<br>graphical means.<br>Likewise, in exercise problems,<br>students are given frequent  |

|  | opportunities to rewrite expressions, create tables and |
|--|---|
|  | graphs, and use these                                   |
|  | representations to analyze                              |
|  | problems.   |
|  | -   |
|  |   |

| Criterion 2.2<br>The Actions and Processes of<br>the Oklahoma Academic<br>Standards for Mathematics   | The materials provide explicit opportunities for students to<br>demonstrate independent progress to develop proficiency in<br>the Oklahoma Academic Standards.   |                    |  |
|---|--|--------------------|--|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions  | Score              | Comments   |
| 2k. Materials include support for teachers to<br>facilitate discourse among students which<br>builds a shared understanding of<br>mathematical ideas through students'<br>analysis and comparison of approaches and<br>arguments. | <ul> <li>Do the materials include scaffolds<br/>for the teacher to model effective<br/>mathematical dialogue?</li> <li>Do the materials include resources or<br/>strategies to build students'<br/>mathematical vocabulary (e.g., stories,<br/>pictures, classroom charts).</li> <li>Do the materials include rich<br/>mathematical tasks that allow students<br/>to construct viable arguments and<br/>critique the reasoning of others?</li> </ul> | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | Throughout each lesson, each<br>example problem contains a<br>worked-out solution, with each<br>line accompanied by a brief<br>mathematical explanation of the<br>reasoning. This models the<br>"teacher talk" that will occur in<br>the classroom.<br>Each lesson exercise set contains<br>error analysis problems, in which<br>students analyze sample student<br>work, and a graph-based analysis<br>question, which is recommended<br>as a prompt for class discussion.<br>In online resources, each chapter<br>has a collaborative project, in<br>which students can work together<br>by solving in-depth, real-life<br>problems. |
| 2I. The materials use student-relevant<br>questions to assess and advance reasoning<br>and sense-making about important math ideas<br>and relationships.  | Do the materials use questions that refer to<br>a variety of student interests and connect<br>mathematical concepts to real-world issues,<br>problems, and contexts?   | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | Each lesson and exercise set<br>include application problems.<br>Due to the content covered,<br>examples from engineering,<br>technology and medicine are<br>the most common. Examples<br>from business and social issues<br>are also present, often using<br>real-world data.   |

|                       | Rating Levels   | Sub-Total | Rating              |
|-----------------------|---|-----------|---------------------|
| Criterion 2.2 Summary | Exemplifies Quality: 10 - 12<br>Approaching Quality: 7 - 9<br>Not Representing Quality: 0 - 6 | 12 / 12   | Exemplifies Quality |

| Criterion 2.3<br>Assessment   | The materials provide tools, guidance, and support for teachers to collect, interpret, and act on data about student progress towards the Oklahoma Academic Standards.  |       |   |
|---|---|-------|---|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions   | Score | Comments  |
| 2m. The materials provide strategies for<br>gathering information on students' prior<br>knowledge within and across grade levels to<br>guide instruction and differentiation. | Do the materials include strategies,<br>prompts, formative assessment probes, or<br>other guidance that support teachers in<br>gathering information on students' prior<br>knowledge, both within and across grade<br>levels, in order to guide grade-level<br>instruction and differentiation? | 0 1 2 | <ul> <li>The text includes a<br/>"Prerequisites" chapter, which<br/>briefly reteaches the algebra skills<br/>that are used in the course.</li> <li>Teachers can use this chapter to<br/>evaluate and support students.</li> <li>Throughout the text, side-bar<br/>components are given, to point<br/>out common problems that<br/>students struggle with. These<br/>include: <ul> <li>Skills Refresher: direction to<br/>an online video that covers a<br/>skill that is used in an<br/>example problem.</li> <li>Algebra Help: a brief review<br/>of terms and concepts that<br/>are being used in the lesson.</li> <li>Technology: a brief coverage<br/>of common issues with using<br/>a graphing calculator.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |

| 2n. The materials provide opportunities for<br>ongoing, relevant practice and review for<br>students in learning concepts and skills and<br>receiving feedback. | <ul> <li>Do the materials include tasks that ask students to produce models, practice fluency, create arguments, justify their answers, attend to mathematical practices, and make relevant connections?</li> <li>Do the materials include tasks that offer revision opportunities for students from self-reflection and/or feedback from peers and/or a teacher on the task?</li> </ul> | 0 1 | <ul> <li>Every exercise set ends with a Review &amp; Refresh set of 10 or so problems. These problems often review concepts that will be used in the upcoming lesson.</li> <li>At the end of the chapter, a set of review exercises is given, followed by a shorter chapter test. An online website provides interactive pre- and post-tests for each chapter, which give feedback to the student immediately.</li> </ul> |
|---|--|-----|---|
|   |  |     | In all exercise sets, review and<br>chapter tests, the worked-out<br>solutions are available online for<br>half of the questions. A link and<br>QR code is provided at the<br>beginning of each set. The<br>website that hosts the worked-out<br>solutions also provides free live<br>tutors during evening hours<br>during the school year.  |

| Criterion 2.3<br>Assessment   | The materials provide tools, guidance, and support for teachers to collect, interpret, and act on data about student progress towards the Oklahoma Academic Standards.  |                    |  |
|---|---|--------------------|--|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions   | Score              | Comments   |
| *20. The materials offer multiple types of<br>assessments including ongoing formative,<br>interim/benchmark, and summative, that<br>clearly denote which academic standards are<br>the focus. | <ul> <li>Do the materials provide a variety of assessments including ongoing, formative, interim/benchmark, and summative?</li> <li>Do materials denote what standard is being assessed by each item?</li> <li>Are students able to demonstrate their understanding of mathematics through a variety of performance assessments (e.g., posters, projects, videos, skits, conversations)?</li> </ul> | 02 <mark>4</mark>  | The text provides review exercise<br>sets for all chapters. These<br>questions are labeled according to<br>topic, but not standards.<br>An online website provides a<br>dozen student projects, tied to<br>specific chapters or lessons.<br>An Instructor Companion Site<br>contains test banks from which<br>teachers can select questions<br>based on topic.<br>The text relies heavily on students<br>demonstrating their understanding<br>through solving discrete (though<br>complex) problems. |
| 2p. The materials encourage students to<br>monitor their own progress and set academic<br>goals.  | <ul> <li>Do materials provide opportunities for<br/>students to monitor their own progress<br/>(e.g., end-of-section reflection questions,<br/>checks-for-understanding, progress<br/>monitoring form) ?</li> <li>Do the materials include scaffolds (e.g.,<br/>guiding questions, graphic organizers) for<br/>students to set math learning goal(s) for<br/>themselves?</li> </ul>                 | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | An online website for students<br>provides graphic organizers/note-<br>taking outlines for each lesson.<br>Within each lesson, every<br>example is followed by a<br>"checkpoint" question, which is a<br>problem similar to the one just<br>demonstrated. A video solution is<br>provided on a website, which is<br>linked in the text for each<br>checkpoint.<br>The text provides review exercise  |

|  | sets for all chapters. These<br>questions are labeled according to<br>topic, but not standards. |
|--|---|
|  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |

| Criterion 2.3<br>Assessment  | The materials provide tools, guidance, and support for teachers to collect, interpret, and act on data about student progress towards the Oklahoma Academic Standards.   |                    |  |
|--|--|--------------------|--|
| Indicators   | Guiding Questions  | Score              | Comments   |
| 2q. The assessment materials offer<br>accommodations that allow students to<br>demonstrate their knowledge and skills<br>without changing the content of the<br>assessment.  | <ul> <li>Do materials support the usage of a variety of accommodations that allow the student to demonstrate their knowledge, skills, and abilities?</li> <li>Do materials support the usage of a variety of accommodations that alter the experience including alterations of timing, setting, presentation, and response?</li> <li>Are students presented with assessment tasks that have more than one method or approach for solving?</li> </ul> | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | The test bank software provides<br>multiple versions of questions,<br>and multiple levels of difficulty.<br>Many problems in the test bank<br>can be solved using multiple<br>methods. |
| 2r. The materials provide explicit guidance for<br>teachers to use evidence of student thinking<br>to assess their progress toward math<br>understanding and to adjust instruction<br>continually in ways that support and extend<br>learning. | <ul> <li>Do materials include scoring guidance<br/>(e.g., rubrics, anchors)?</li> <li>Does the guidance include support for<br/>teachers to interpret student performance<br/>and suggestions for follow-up?</li> </ul>  | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | The teacher's answer keys provide<br>full answer explanations.   |
|  | Rating Levels  | Sub-Total          | Rating   |
| Criterion 2.3 Summary  | Exemplifies Quality: 12 - 14<br>Approaching Quality: 8 - 11<br>Not Representing Quality: 0 - 7   | 14 / 14            | Exemplifies Quality  |

| Gateway 2<br>Points<br>Available | Rating Levels                    | Gateway 2<br>Points<br>Achieved | Gateway 2 Rating    |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|
|                                  | Exemplifies Quality: 32 - 40     | 40 /40                          | Exemplifies Quality |
| 40                               | Approaching Quality: 21 - 31     |                                 |                     |
|                                  | Not Representing Quality: 0 - 20 |                                 |                     |
|                                  | Gateway                          | 2 Comments                      |                     |
|                                  |                                  |                                 |                     |

#### **Gateway 3: Teacher and Student Supports and Usability**

Materials support teachers to fully utilize the curriculum and understand the skills and learning of their students.

To determine the Gateway rating, educators use evidence gathered from the instructional materials to score indicators related to each criterion

Materials must receive a score of Exemplifies Quality or Approaching Quality in Gateway 2 in order to be reviewed in Gateway 3.

| Gateway 3 Overview   |            |                  |  |
|--|------------|------------------|--|
| Criterion  | Indicators | Available Points |  |
| <b>Criterion 3.1: Differentiation, Scaffolding, and Supports for All Learners</b><br>The materials give all students extensive opportunities and support to explore key concepts.  | 3a 3g.     | 10               |  |
| Criterion 3.2: Teacher Planning and Learning for Success with the<br>Oklahoma Academic Standards for Mathematics<br>The materials provide teachers with guidance to build their own knowledge and to give<br>all students extensive opportunities and support to explore key concepts. | 3h 3i.     | 10               |  |
|  |            | 20               |  |

| Criterion 3.1<br>Differentiation, Scaffolding, and<br>Supports for All Learners                   | The materials give all students extensive opportunities and support to explore key concepts.   |       |   |
|---|--|-------|---|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions  | Score | Comments  |
| 3a. The materials sequence math tasks in a way that is intentional and supports student learning. | <ul> <li>Are the sequencing of assignments intentional in development (e.g., concrete before abstract, logical flow of material)?</li> <li>Do the materials provide problems and exercises that intentionally builds student background knowledge and enables students to apply what they have learned in past lessons and grade levels to develop proficiency in new mathematics concepts?</li> </ul> | 0 1 2 | <ul> <li>Each chapter is structured in a logical manner. For example, in the chapter on complex numbers: <ul> <li>The first lesson introduces the notation of complex numbers and applies previously known skills to this new concept.</li> <li>The next lessons show how previous learnings, such as the quadratic formula and the coordinate plane, are made more useful with this new concept.</li> <li>The last lessons teach uses of imaginary numbers that tie into the trigonometry recently learned in the course.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Within each lesson, concepts are presented with a meaningful introduction followed by several new learnings, each of which are supported with at least one worked out example.</li> </ul> |

6-12 Mathematics Instructional Material Evaluation Rubric - Approved February

| 3b. Manipulatives or models both virtual and<br>physical, are faithful, accurate, and appropriate<br>representations of the mathematical objects<br>they represent and connected to a variety of<br>math tasks found in the materials. | <ul> <li>Are the manipulatives or models consistent representations of the mathematical objects?</li> <li>Are the manipulatives or models connected to a variety of math tasks found in the materials?</li> </ul> | 0 1 2                         | Throughout the text, images and<br>graphics are used to support<br>concepts. Students are taught how<br>to create models as part of their<br>problem-solving process.<br>The text does not contain any<br>references to physical<br>manipulatives, which would be<br>mostly inefficient for the content.<br>Each lesson contains one example<br>that has a link to a Desmos<br>Graphing Calculator interactive<br>activity that supports and expands<br>the example. These interactive<br>activities range from a practice of<br>radian angle measurement in the<br>first lesson, to graphing polar<br>functions and adjusting eccentricity<br>in the last lesson. |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
| 3c. The materials are presented in an<br>organized and visually stimulating way that<br>supports students in engaging thoughtfully<br>with the subject.  | <ul> <li>Do the materials maintain a consistent layout for each lesson?</li> <li>Are the representations and models supportive of student learning and engagement without being visually distracting?</li> </ul>  | Narrative<br>Evidence<br>Only | Each lesson follows a structure in<br>which standards are presented, a<br>new learning is introduced, and<br>examples are worked. The<br>complexity builds throughout each<br>lesson.<br>Lessons, examples, and practice<br>exercises are supported with<br>photographs and diagrams.  |

6-12 Mathematics Instructional Material Evaluation Rubric - Approved February

| Criterion 3.1<br>Differentiation, Scaffolding, and<br>Supports for All Learners   | The materials give all students extensive opportunities and support to explore key concepts.   |                       |  |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| Indicator<br>s  | Guiding Questions  | Score                 | Comments   |
| 3d. The materials incorporate a glossary,<br>footnotes, recordings, graphics, and/or other<br>features that aid students in using the<br>materials to progress understanding of<br>mathematical concepts.   | Do the materials include features (e.g.,<br>glossaries, footnotes, recordings,<br>pictures, charts, tables) that aid students<br>and teachers in using them effectively?   | 0 1 <mark>2</mark>    | The front and end papers of the text<br>contain reference information about<br>parent functions, trigonometric<br>identities, geometric and algebraic<br>formulas.<br>An online website contains videos in           |
|   |  |                       | which a teacher works through the concepts. A video is provided for each example in the text.  |
| 3e. The materials include opportunities for teachers to personalize learning for all students.  | <ul> <li>Do the materials integrate tangible<br/>and/or digital interactive tools,<br/>manipulatives/objects, and/or<br/>dynamic mathematics software in<br/>ways that engage students in<br/>mathematical actions and processes<br/>and support differentiation?</li> <li>Do the materials provide supporting<br/>resources for teachers to adapt<br/>lessons or activities based on<br/>student need and experiences?</li> </ul> | 0 1 <mark>2</mark>    | The text covers content in great depth,<br>with many different examples and<br>applications of concepts. This allows<br>teachers to select the topics and<br>examples to be used, based on the<br>needs of students. |
| 3f. Any digital materials are web-based and<br>compatible with multiple internet browsers<br>(e.g., Internet Explorer, Firefox, Google<br>Chrome). In addition, materials are<br>"platform neutral" (i.e., are compatible with<br>multiple operating systems and are not<br>proprietary to any single platform) and<br>allow the use of tablets and mobile devices. | <ul> <li>Are digital materials (either included as part of the comprehensive materials or as a part of a digital curriculum) web-based and compatible with multiple internet browsers?</li> <li>Are materials "platform neutral"?</li> </ul>   | Narrative<br>Evidence | All of the online materials and<br>resources are platform neutral and<br>compatible with multiple internet<br>browsers.  |

| Criterion 3.1<br>Differentiation, Scaffolding, and<br>Supports for All Learners              | The materials give all students extensive opportunities and support to explore key concepts.  |                    |  |
|--|---|--------------------|--|
| Indicators   | Guiding Questions   | Score              | Comments   |
| 3g. Materials provide teachers with strategies for meeting the needs of a range of learners. | <ul> <li>Do the materials provide appropriate supports, scaffolds, and/or accommodations for all students, including exceptional populations and diverse learners (e.g., learners with IEPS, heritage language learners, multilingual learners, and gifted learners) that will support their regular and active participation in learning mathematics?</li> <li>Do the materials provide opportunities for teachers to use a variety of grouping strategies for regular and intervention instruction (e.g., individual, small group, whole group)? If the materials include technology, it provides opportunities for teachers and/or students to collaborate with each other (e.g., websites, discussion groups, webinars)?</li> </ul> | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | The online WebAssign system<br>has optional "Master It" and<br>"Explore It" modules for many<br>topics. These can be assigned to<br>students or can be accessed in<br>online homework assignments. |
|  | Rating Levels   | Sub-Total          | Rating   |
| Criterion 3.1 Summary  | Exemplifies Quality: 8 - 10<br>Approaching Quality: 6 - 7<br>Not Representing Quality: 0 - 5  | 10 / 10            | Exemplifies Quality  |

| Criterion 3.2<br>Teacher Planning and Learning<br>for Success with the Oklahoma<br>Academic Standards   | The materials provide teachers with guidance to build their<br>own knowledge and to give all students extensive<br>opportunities and support to explore key concepts.   |                    |   |
|---|---|--------------------|---|
| Indicators  | Guiding Questions   | Score              | Comments  |
| <ul> <li>3h. The materials support teachers in planning and delivering effective instruction by providing: <ul> <li>Techniques to guide students' mathematical development (e.g., question stems, facilitation guides, suggestions for differentiation).</li> <li>Common student errors and misconceptions with ways to identify and address these errors and misconceptions.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  | Are there embedded resources that explain<br>common misconceptions and how the<br>teacher can navigate through,or leverage,<br>the misconception to progress learner<br>understanding?  | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | Throughout the text, side-bars<br>call out common<br>misunderstandings or mistakes,<br>with a brief explanation of the<br>error and how to avoid it.  |
| <ul> <li>*3i. The materials include a teacher's edition that contains:</li> <li>Full, adult-level explanations and examples of mathematics concepts in each lesson.</li> <li>Ample and useful annotations.</li> <li>Suggestions for how to present the content in the student edition and in any supplemental materials.</li> <li>Guidance for the use of embedded technology to support and enhance student learning (when applicable).</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Are there overview sections and/or annotations that contain narrative information about the math content and/or ancillary documents that will assist the teacher in presenting the student material, understanding the standards, and allowing for seamless transitions of that knowledge of student learning?</li> <li>If technology support is embedded, are there links that will enhance the learning for all students?</li> </ul> | 02 <mark>4</mark>  | A teacher's edition is not provided.<br>A separate file does provide full<br>solutions to all problems in the text.<br>Teachers are provided with editable<br>PowerPoint files for every lesson,<br>which provide a starting structure<br>for how to present content. |

6-12 Mathematics Instructional Material Evaluation Rubric - Approved February

| Criterion 3.2<br>Teacher Planning and Learning<br>for Success with the Oklahoma<br>Academic Standards  | The materials provide teachers with guidance to build their<br>own knowledge and to give all students extensive<br>opportunities and support to explore key concepts.  |                    |   |
|--|--|--------------------|---|
| Indicators   | Guiding Questions  | Score              | Comments  |
| <ul> <li>3j. The materials include an outline and justification of its contents, including: <ul> <li>An explanation of the role of specific grade-level mathematics in the context of the overall mathematics curriculum for pre-kindergarten through high school.</li> <li>A list of lessons cross-referencing the academic standards addressed and providing an estimated instructional time for each lesson, chapter, and unit (i.e., pacing guide).</li> <li>Explanations of the instructional approaches of the program and identification of research-based strategies used in the materials.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Are there chapter or lesson overviews that explain the progression of the content and how this specific course connects to previous and upcoming courses?</li> <li>Is there clear documentation that aligns standards to lessons, chapters, units, and/or topics?</li> <li>Is there clear documentation that provides estimated instructional time for lessons, chapters, units, and/or topics?</li> <li>Do the materials contain an explanation of the instructional approaches to the program?</li> <li>Do the materials contain research-based strategies? Are these strategies identified?</li> </ul> | 012                | Each lesson ends with a summary<br>of what was learned. Each chapter<br>also contains a detailed summary. |
| 3k. The materials provide strategies for<br>informing families about the mathematics<br>program and suggestions for how they can<br>help support student progress and<br>achievement.  | <ul> <li>Do the materials include strategies to inform families about the mathematical program and how they can support student progress?</li> <li>Do the materials contain suggestions for how parents or caregivers can support student progress and achievement?</li> </ul>   | 0 1 <mark>2</mark> | There is no material provided<br>aimed at families or caregivers.   |

| Criterion 3.2 Summary | Rating Levels  | Sub-Total | Rating              |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|---------------------|
|                       | Exemplifies Quality: 8 - 10<br>Approaching Quality: 6 - 7<br>Not Representing Quality: 0 - 5 | 10 / 10   | Exemplifies Quality |

| Gateway 3<br>Points<br>Available | Rating Levels                    | Gateway 3<br>Points<br>Achieved | Gateway 3 Rating |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
|                                  | Exemplifies Quality: 16 - 20     | 20/20                           | Exemplifies      |
| 20                               | Approaching Quality: 11 - 15     |                                 | Quality          |
|                                  | Not Representing Quality: 0 - 10 |                                 |                  |